



# 河南推拿职业学院

## 2017 届毕业生就业质量报告

河南省教育评估中心

2017 年 12 月



# 目 录

	.....	5
	.....	5
	.....	8
2017	.....	9
	.....	10
	.....	10
	.....	11
	.....	11
	.....	12
	.....	13
	.....	13
	.....	14
	.....	15
	.....	15
	.....	17
七	.....	19
	.....	19
	.....	20
	.....	21
	.....	22
	.....	22
	.....	22
	.....	23

	.....	24
	.....	24
	.....	24
	.....	25
	.....	25
	.....	26
	.....	27
	.....	27
	.....	28
■	.....	
	.....	29
	) .....	29
	) .....	30
	.....	30
	.....	30
<b>25</b>	.....	<b>30</b>
	.....	

# 学校简介

1959

2001

2011

400

17

6000

100

30

50


60

2


# 第一章 毕业生基本情况

## 一、毕业生的规模和结构分析

2017	639	2016	100
55.40%	285	45.60	354



1-2 2017



1-3 2017





2017

552

86.38%

1-1 2017

院系名称	专业名称	毕业人数	
------	------	------	--

## 第二章 毕业生调查情况

### 一、2017 届毕业生调查总体情况

2017

CGESP

2017

11

15

12

5

2017

639

514

80.44%

2-1 2017

专业名称	毕业人数	回收有效数量	有效问卷占比
	552	433	78.44%
	45	42	93.33%
	42	39	92.86%
	639	514	80.44%

2017 94.75%  
27

2-2 2017

就业状况	调查人数	比例 (%)
	487	94.75%
	27	5.25%
	514	100.00%

2017 97.62%  
94.69% 92.31%

2-3 2017

专业名称	回收问卷人数	调查就业人数	调查就业率
	42	41	97.62%
	433	410	94.69%
	39	36	92.31%
	514	487	94.75%

## 二、调查毕业生去向

1

2017

155

30.16%

119

23.15%

:

2-4 2017

就业去向	调查人数	比例
	155	30.16%
	119	23.15%
	74	14.40%
	73	14.20%
	31	6.03%
	27	5.25%
	25	4.86%
	4	0.78%
	2	0.39%
	2	0.39%
	2	0.39%
	0	0.00%
	514	100.00%

1.

2017

3 7.69% 23

5.31% 1

2.38%

2-5 2017

专业名称	回收问卷人数	未就业人数	未就业率
	39	3	7.69%
	433	23	5.31%
	42	1	2.38%
	514	27	5.25%


2.

10

37.04% 8

29.63% 4

14.81%



2-1

### 三、调查毕业生就业分布情况

2

2017

238

69.39%

14

4.08%

2-6 2017

行业门类	调查就业人数	比例
	238	69.39%
	14	4.08%
	14	4.08%
	12	3.50%
	9	2.62%
	9	2.62%
	6	1.75%
	5	1.46%
	5	1.46%
	5	1.46%
	4	1.17%
	4	1.17%
	3	0.87%
	3	0.87%
	3	0.87%
	3	0.87%
	2	0.58%
	2	0.58%
	2	0.58%
	343	100%

2017

190

55.39%

47

13.70%

2-7 2017

职位类别	调查就业人数	比例
	190	55.39%
	47	13.70%
	25	7.29%
	18	5.25%
	17	4.96%
	7	2.04%
	5	1.46%
	5	1.46%
	5	1.46%
	4	1.17%
	4	1.17%
	4	1.17%
	3	0.87%
	3	0.87%
	2	0.58%
	2	0.58%
	2	0.58%
	343	100%



4

2017

187

54.52%

38

11.08%

2-8

2017

单位性质	调查就业人数	比例
------	--------	----

2-9 2017

就业地区	调查就业人数	比例
	208	60.64%
	16	4.66%
	14	4.08%
	12	3.50%
	12	3.50%
	10	2.92%
	9	2.62%
	7	2.04%
	7	2.04%
	5	1.46%
	4	1.17%
	4	1.17%
	4	1.17%
	4	1.17%
	4	1.17%
	4	1.17%
	4	1.17%
	4	1.17%
	3	0.87%
	3	0.87%
	2	0.58%
	2	0.58%
	1	0.29%
	1	0.29%
	1	0.29%
	1	0.29%
	1	0.29%
	343	100.00%

2017

56

26.92%

47

22.60%

2-10 2017

就业地市	调查就业人数	比例
	56	26.92%
	47	22.60%
	17	8.17%
	12	5.77%
	12	5.77%
	10	4.81%
	8	3.85%
	8	3.85%
	7	3.37%
	7	3.37%
	5	2.40%
	4	1.92%
	4	1.92%
	3	1.44%
	3	1.44%
	3	1.44%
	2	0.96%
	208	100%


2017

119

48.74%


42.86%

4.20%



2-2 2017

42.86%  
30.25%




2-3 2017

## 第三章 调查毕业生的就业质量

### 一、调查毕业生薪酬水平

2017

3176.38 / 2000 4000  
 37.03% 9.04% 18.95% 6.12%  
 11.08%




3-1 2017

### 二、调查毕业生专业相关度

2017

88.34%




3-2 2017

### 三、调查毕业生入职适应岗位周期

2017

3

80.46%



3-3 2017









## 二、调查毕业生自主创业情况

25

18

3

4-1 2017


行业类别	自主创业人数	比例
	18	72.00%
	3	12.00%
	1	4.00%
	1	4.00%
	1	4.00%
	1	4.00%
	25	100.00%

25

80.00%

68.00%

44.00%




4-4 2017

25

64.00%

56.00%




4-5

25

52.00%

48.00%

44.00%




4-6

25

88.00%

80.00%

76.00%




4-7

80.00%

72.00%


68.00%



4-8

25


100.00%



4-9

25  
19

5



4-10

## 第五章 就业工作情况

### 一、学校采取的就业政策措施

2017

)

70

4 1 6 10

200

5000

11

)

76

114000

2017

22

16

358

249

## 二、就业指导与就业服务情况

2017

98.57%


99.42%



98.83%

5-1 2017

评价内容	很满意	比较满意	一般	不满意	很不满意	满意度
	50.00%	40.86%	8.56%	0.39%	0.19%	99.42%
	47.28%	39.11%	12.45%	0.78%	0.39%	98.83%
	44.16%	40.27%	14.35%	0.58%	0.58%	98.78%
	47.28%	39.88%	11.48%	0.78%	0.58%	98.64%
	49.03%	37.84%	11.47%	0.97%	0.58%	98.33%
	43.58%	40.05%	13.81%	0.97%	0.58%	97.44%
	46.89%	39.67%	12.02%	0.75%	0.49%	<b>98.57%</b>



5-1 2017

2017

97.95%


99.22%

98.78%

98.64%

5-2 2017

评价内容	很满意	比较满意	一般	不满意	很不满意	满意度
	44.94%	42.02%	12.26%	0.58%	0.19%	99.22%
	45.14%	41.44%	12.21%	1.17%	0.00%	98.78%
	46.11%	41.05%	11.48%	0.78%	0.58%	98.64%
	42.41%	42.41%	13.62%	1.36%	0.19%	98.44%
	43.77%	39.69%	14.59%	1.75%	0.19%	98.05%
	42.02%	39.69%	16.34%	1.56%	0.39%	98.05%
	41.05%	40.47%	16.15%	1.56%	0.78%	97.67%
	42.02%	40.08%	15.37%	1.56%	0.97%	97.47%
	41.25%	39.49%	16.54%	2.53%	0.19%	97.28%
	42.61%	38.91%	15.56%	2.14%	0.78%	97.08%
	39.11%	38.72%	18.87%	2.92%	0.39%	96.69%
	42.77%	40.36%	14.82%	1.63%	0.42%	97.95%



5-2 2017

### 三、就业帮扶情况

156                      30.35%                      358  
69.65%


5-3

帮扶情况	人数	占比
	156	30.35%
	358	69.65%
	514	100.00%

50.82%

45.08%

32.79%




5-3

49.64%

46.79%

41.43%



5-4

## 第六章 毕业生对教育教学反馈情况

### 一、培养过程与条件

2017

98.47%

99.22%

99.03%

6-1 2017

评价内容	很满意	满意	一般	不满意	很不满意	满意度
	50.78%	38.72%	9.73%	0.39%	0.39%	99.22%
	46.50%	42.02%	10.51%	0.58%	0.39%	99.03%
	50.58%	40.27%	7.98%	0.78%	0.39%	98.83%
	50.78%	38.52%	9.34%	1.17%	0.19%	98.64%
	48.05%	41.63%	8.75%	1.17%	0.39%	98.44%
	47.28%	39.88%	10.89%	1.56%	0.39%	98.05%
	43.77%	38.52%	14.79%	1.95%	0.97%	97.08%
	48.25%	39.94%	10.28%	1.08%	0.44%	98.47%




6-2 2017


评价内容	课程安排（内容、时间等）合理性	内容实用性	内容新颖性	理论联系实际	平均值
	4.51	4.56	4.40	4.50	4.49
	4.53	4.57	4.41	4.48	4.50
	4.43	4.46	4.38	4.41	4.42
	4.49	4.53	4.40	4.46	4.47

2.

2017




6-2



6-3 2017

2.

2017




6-4 2017



2017


71.21%

68.09%



6-5 2017






7-2 2017

### 三、对学校教育教学工作的建议

55.45%

49.03%

43.77%



7-3 2017